EXHIBIT B

Identification and Evaluation of Viable Remediation Alternatives to address Injuries related to Land Disposal of Poultry Waste within the Illinois River Watershed

Prepared by:

Todd W. King, P.E.¹, BCEE²

Date

CDM

My fee for this work is \$175 per hour in accordance with the contract terms and conditions between Motley-Rice and CDM.

I have not provided depositions or expert testimony in the previous four years.

¹ Professional Engineer No. 35557 Michigan

² Board Certified Environmental Engineer, American Academy of Environmental Engineers



Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE FOR ALL REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES

Tab Number	Description	Capital Cost	Annual Costs	Total Project Present Worth Cost
1	4.3.1 Removal - Cessation with proper poultry waste management	\$0	\$16,107,000	\$199,872,000
2	4.3.2 Treatment - Buffer strips along fields (all streams)	\$271,183,000	\$55,202,550	\$956,194,000
3	4.3.2 Treatment - Buffer strips along fields (>3rd order streams)	\$42,619,000	\$8,675,550	\$150,274,000
4	4.3.3.1 Treatment - Residential drinking water systems (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)	\$432,000 to \$4,713,000	\$148,200 to \$479,891	\$2,271,000 to \$10,668,000
5	4.3.3.2 Treatment - Residential drinking water supplied (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)	\$0	\$1,444,456 to \$7,450,352	\$17,924,000 to \$92,452,000
6	4.3.3.4 Treatment - Residential drinking water replace wells (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)	\$5,805,000 to \$29,939,000	\$0	\$5,805,000 to \$29,939,000
7	4.4.1 Treatment – Drinking water surface water treatment (IRW rivers and stream WTPs)	\$220,342,000	\$18,635,763	\$451,594,000
8	4.5.1 Treatment - Drinking water surface water treatment (Lake Tenkiller WTPs)	\$232,705,000	\$28,219,525	\$582,882,000

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 1-4.3.1 Removal - Cessation with proper poultry waste management

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)											
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments						
Direct Costs:											
1 None	0	Each	\$0	\$0							
		1									
		l	I Subtotal:	\$0							
			30% Contingency(2):								
		Т	otal Contractor Costs:								
	Engineering, Le	gal, Permits, C	ontractor OH&P(25%):								
	Total Capital Costs:										
	1		Rounded Total:	\$0							

ANNUAL (POST-REMEDIAL SITE CONTR	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
1 Disposal outside IRW	354,000	Ton	\$35	\$12,390,000	Unit cost from Exhibit J:Rausser and Dicks
		30-Veer P	Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): Total: resent Worth Cost (3):	\$3,717,000 \$16,107,000	
			Rounded Total:	\$199,872,000	

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed basec
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 2-4.3.2 Treatment - Buffer strips along fields (all streams)

	CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)					
	Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
	Direct Costs:					
1	Land acquisition	84,927	Acre	\$1,465	\$124,418,055	http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/arei/ah722/arei1_1/arei 1_1landuse.pdf (avg of 19 states) Acreage est. by Robert van Waasbergen, intersection of
2	Initial prep and planting	84,927	Acre	\$500	\$42,463,500	pastures and grassland with 100' buffer each side
		I	l .	Subtotal:	\$166,881,555	-
				30% Contingency(2):	\$50,064,467	
			Te	otal Contractor Costs:	\$216,946,022	
		Engineering, Lega	al, Permits, Co	ntractor OH&P(25%):	\$54,236,505	
				Total Capital Costs:	\$271,182,527	
				Rounded Total:	\$271,183,000	

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
Maintenance	84,927	Acre	\$500	\$42,463,500	Repare of channelized flow, re-planting
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2):	\$42,463,500 \$12,739,050	
		30-Year Pr	Total: esent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total:	\$55,202,550 \$685,010,716 \$685,011,000	

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 3-4.3.2 Treatment - Buffer strips along fields (>3rd order streams)

	CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)					
	Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
	Direct Costs:					
1	Land acquisition	13,347	Acre	\$1,465	\$19,553,355	http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/arei/ah722/arei1_1/arei 1_1landuse.pdf (avg of 19 states) Acreage est. by Robert van Waasbergen, intersection of
2	Initial prep and planting	13,347	Acre	\$500	\$6,673,500	pastures and grassland with 100' buffer each side
				Subtotal:	\$26,226,855	
				30% Contingency(2):	\$7,868,057	
			T	otal Contractor Costs:	\$34,094,912	
		Engineering, Lega	al, Permits, Co	ontractor OH&P(25%):	\$8,523,728	
				Total Capital Costs:	\$42,618,639	
				Rounded Total:	\$42,619,000	

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
Maintenance	13,347	Acre	\$500	\$6,673,500	Repare of channelized flow, re-planting
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): Total: esent Worth Cost (3):	\$6,673,500 \$2,002,050 \$8,675,550 \$107,655,257	

Total Project Present Worth Cost: \$150,274,000

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 4-4.3.3.1 Treatment - Residential drinking water systems (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)											
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments						
Direct Costs: 1 Nitrogen only system (RO or Ion Exchange) 2 Bacteria system (UV) 3 Installation	190 878 1,068	Each Each Each	\$400 \$2,000 \$1,000	\$76,000 \$1,756,000 \$1,068,000	76 gpd RO system http://www.bigbrandwater.com/reverseosmosis2.html http://www.bigbrandwater.com/trojanp20.html						
	Engineering, Leg	=	Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): fotal Contractor Costs: contractor OH&P(25%): Total Capital Costs: Rounded Total:	\$2,900,000 \$870,000 \$3,770,000 \$942,500 \$4,712,500 \$4,713,000							

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
1 Filters 2 UV Bulbs 3 Power	2,280 878 1,234,468	Each Each kWhr	\$50 \$150 \$0.10	\$114,000 \$131,700 \$123,447	one filter per month per system 1 bulb every other year 160 Watt power consumption
<u>, </u>	,		Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): Total: resent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total:	\$369,147 \$110,744 \$479,891 \$5,954,985 \$5,955,000	
		T-4-1 D:-	t Present Worth Cost:	\$10,668,000	

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed based on the continue of the continu
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 4-4.3.3.1 Treatment - Residential drinking water systems (with cessation 190 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)	CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)										
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments						
Direct Costs: 1 Nitrogen only system (RO or Ion Exchange) 2 Bacteria system (UV) 3 Installation	190 0 190	Each Each Each	\$400 \$2,000 \$1,000	\$76,000 \$0 \$190,000	76 gpd RO system http://www.bigbrandwater.com/reverseosmosis2.html http://www.bigbrandwater.com/trojanp20.html						
	Engineering, Leg	=	Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): fotal Contractor Costs: contractor OH&P(25%): Total Capital Costs: Rounded Total:	\$79,800 \$345,800 \$86,450 \$432,250							

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
Filters	2,280	Each	\$50	\$114,000	one filter per month per system
UV Bulbs	0	Each	\$150	\$0	1 bulb every other year
3 Power	0	kWhr	\$0.10	\$0	160 Watt power consumption
		l	Subtotal:	\$114,000	<u> </u>
			30% Contingency(2): Total:	\$34,200 \$148,200	
		30-Year Pi	• , , ,		
		30-Year Pi	Total:	\$148,200	

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed bar
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 5-4.3.3.2 Treatment - Residential drinking water supplied (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)					
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
Direct Costs: 1 None	980	Each	\$0	\$0	Wells with N and or Bacteria issues
	Engineering, Leg	T	Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): otal Contractor Costs: ontractor OH&P(25%): Total Capital Costs: Rounded Total:		

1 Water 2 Cooler rental	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments	
		Gal Month	\$1.52 \$25	\$5,437,040 \$294,000	10 gpd per household Per month	
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): Total: resent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total:	\$1,719,312 \$7,450,352 \$92,451,725		

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed bar
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 5-4.3.3.2 Treatment - Residential drinking water supplied (with cessation 190 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)	CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)										
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments						
Direct Costs: 1 None	190	Each	\$0	\$0	Wells with N and or Bacteria issues						
	Engineering, Leg	Т	Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): otal Contractor Costs: ontractor OH&P(25%): Total Capital Costs: Rounded Total:	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0							

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments	
Water 2 Cooler rental	693,500 2,280	Gal Month	\$1.52 \$25	\$1,054,120 \$57,000	10 gpd per household Per month	
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2): Total: esent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total:	\$1,111,120 \$333,336 \$1,444,456 \$17,924,314 \$17,924,000		
			Rounded Total:	\$17,924,000		

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed bar
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 6-4.3.3.4 Treatment - Residential drinking water replace wells (with cessation 190 wells & without cessation 980 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)	CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)										
Item	Item Quantity Units Unit Cost (\$)		Item Cost (\$)	Comments							
Direct Costs:											
1 Abandon well	980	Each	\$400	\$392,000							
2 Install new well	568,400	LF	\$20	\$11,368,000	depth 580' based on 95pctile Delaware Cty						
3 New piping	568,400	Each	\$10	\$5,684,000							
4 New pump	980	Each	\$1,000	\$980,000							
			Subtotal:	\$18,424,000							
		\$5,527,200									
		\$23,951,200									
	\$5,987,800										
			Total Capital Costs:	\$29,939,000							
			Rounded Total:	\$29,939,000							

ANNUAL (POST-REMEDIAL SITE CONTROL) Some										
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments					
Assume similar to existing	1	Lump Sum	\$0	\$0						
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2):							
			Total:							
		30-Year Pro	esent Worth Cost (3):							
			Rounded Total:							
		Total Project	Present Worth Cost	\$29,939,000						
		Total Project	Present Worth Cost:	\$29,939,	000					

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed basec
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 6-4.3.3.4 Treatment - Residential drinking water replace wells (with cessation 190 wells)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)										
Item	Item Quantity Units Unit Cost (\$)		Item Cost (\$)	Comments						
Direct Costs:										
1 Abandon well	190	Each	\$400	\$76,000						
2 Install new well	110,200	LF	\$20	\$2,204,000	depth 580' based on 95pctile Delaware Cty					
3 New piping	110,200	Each	\$10	\$1,102,000						
4 New pump	190	Each	\$1,000	\$190,000						
			Subtotal:	\$3,572,000						
		\$1,071,600								
		7	\$4,643,600							
	Engineering, Le	gal, Permits, C	\$1,160,900							
			Total Capital Costs:	\$5,804,500						
			Rounded Total:	\$5,805,000						

ANNUAL (POST-REMEDIAL SITE CONTROL)										
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments					
1 Assume similar to existing	1	Lump Sum	\$0	\$0						
			Subtotal: 30% Contingency(2) Total: esent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0						
		Total Project	Present Worth Cost	\$5,805,000						

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed basec
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 7-4.4.1 Treatment - Drinking water surface water treatment (IRW rivers and stream WTPs)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)					
Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
Direct Costs:			Millions		
1 OK1021701 TAHLEQUAH PWA - Illinois River	1	Lump Sum	\$ 82.28	\$82,277,741	WTP data from http://sdwis.deq.state.ok.us/
2 OK1221637 CHEROKEE CO RWD #11 - Illinois River	1	Lump Sum	\$ 74.83	\$74,833,104	EPA cost data from Fed Reg Vol 71, No. 2 Jan 4, 2006 p.456
3 OK1021694 FLINT RIDGE RURAL WATER DISTRICT - Illinois River	1	Lump Sum	\$ 29.33	\$29,331,386	ENR escalation from 2003 to 2008 = 1.2085
4 OK1021775 SEQUOYAH CO RWD # 5 - Illinois River	1	Lump Sum	\$ 29.33	\$29,331,386	
5 OK1021770 ADAIR CO RWD #5 - Baron Fork	1	Lump Sum	\$ 4.57	\$4,568,300	
			Subtotal:	\$220,341,918	
			30% Contingency(2):	\$0	EPA estimate assumed to include contingencies
		Т	otal Contractor Costs:	\$220,341,918	
	Engineering, Legal, Permits, Contractor OH&P(25%):				EPA estimate assumed to include these costs
	Total Capital Costs:			\$220,341,918	ones a
	Rounded Total:			\$220,342,000	

ANNUAL (POST-REMEDIAL SITE CONTROL)						
Item	Quantity	Units		Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
				Millions		
1 OK1021701 TAHLEQUAH PWA - Illinois River	1	Year	\$	4.06	\$4,060,711	WTP data from http://sdwis.deq.state.ok.us/
2 OK1221637 CHEROKEE CO RWD #11 - Illinois River	1	Year	\$	6.45	\$6,453,630	EPA cost data from Fed Reg Vol 71, No. 2 Jan 4, 2006 p.456
3 OK1021694 FLINT RIDGE RURAL WATER DISTRICT - Illinois River	1	Year	\$	4.06	\$4,060,711	ENR escalation from 2003 to 2008 = 1.2085
4 OK1021775 SEQUOYAH CO RWD # 5 - Illinois River	1	Year	\$	4.06	\$4,060,711	
5 OK1021770 ADAIR CO RWD #5 - Baron Fork	1	Year	\$	0.74	\$737,212	
		30-Year I		Subtotal: % Contingency(2): Total: ent Worth Cost (3): Rounded Total:	\$18,635,763 \$0 \$18,635,763 \$231,251,955 \$231,252,000	EPA estimate assumed to include contingencies
		Total Proje	ect Pi	resent Worth Cost:	\$451,594,000	1

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed based upon USEPA, 19
- 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs

Summary of Costs for Remedial Alternatives Illinois River Watershed

PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Tab 8-4.5.1 Treatment - Drinking water surface water treatment (Lake Tenkiller WTPs)

CAPITAL (DIRECT & INDIRECT)								
Item	Quantity	Units	Ur	nit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments		
Direct Costs:				Millions				
1 OK1020210 SEQUOYAH COUNTY WATER ASSOC	1	Lump Sum	\$	82.28	\$82,277,741	WTP data from http://sdwis.deq.state.ok.us/		
2 OK1021721 CHEROKEE CO RWD #13	1	Lump Sum	\$	29.33	\$29,331,386	EPA cost data from Fed Reg Vol 71, No. 2 Jan 4, 2006 p.456		
3 OK1021773 GORE PWA	1	Lump Sum	\$	29.33	\$29,331,386	ENR escalation from 2003 to 2008 = 1.2085		
4 OK1021711 CHEROKEE CO RWD # 2 (KEYS)	1	Lump Sum	\$	29.33	\$29,331,386			
5 OK1021713 EAST CENTRAL OKLA WATER AUTH	1	Lump Sum	\$	29.33	\$29,331,386			
6 OK1021756 TENKILLER UTILITY CO	1	Lump Sum	\$	4.57	\$4,568,300			
7 OK1021707 LRED (CHICKEN CREEK)	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
8 OK1021731 LRED (LAKEWOOD)	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
9 OK1021703 LRED (WILDCAT)	1		\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
10 OK1021727 LRED (WOODHAVEN)	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
11 OK1021730 FIN & FEATHER RESORT	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
12 OK1021745 TENKILLER AQUA PARK	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
13 OK1021763 BURNT CABIN RWD	1	Lump Sum	\$	3.89	\$3,891,515			
14 OK1021702 PETTIT MT WATER	1	Lump Sum	\$	1.29	\$1,293,143			
	Subtotal: \$232,705,33							
30% Contingency(2):						EPA estimate assumed to include contingencies		
				ntractor Costs:	\$232,705,333			
	Engineering, Leg	al, Permits, Co			\$0	EPA estimate assumed to include these costs		
				Capital Costs:	\$232,705,333	2001		
			ı	Rounded Total:	\$232,705,000			

Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Item Cost (\$)	Comments
			Millions		
1 OK1020210 SEQUOYAH COUNTY WATER ASSOC	1	Year	\$ 4.06	\$4,060,711	WTP data from http://sdwis.deq.state.ok.us/
2 OK1021721 CHEROKEE CO RWD #13	1	Year	\$ 4.06	\$4,060,711	EPA cost data from Fed Reg Vol 71, No. 2 Jan 4, 2006 p.4
3 OK1021773 GORE PWA	1	Year	\$ 4.06	\$4,060,711	ENR escalation from 2003 to 2008 = 1.208
4 OK1021711 CHEROKEE CO RWD # 2 (KEYS)	1	Year	\$ 4.06	\$4,060,711	
5 OK1021713 EAST CENTRAL OKLA WATER AUTH	1	Year	\$ 4.06	\$4,060,711	
6 OK1021756 TENKILLER UTILITY CO	1	Year	\$ 0.74	\$737,212	
7 OK1021707 LRED (CHICKEN CREEK)	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
8 OK1021731 LRED (LAKEWOOD)	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	•
9 OK1021703 LRED (WILDCAT)	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
0 OK1021727 LRED (WOODHAVEN)	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
1 OK1021730 FIN & FEATHER RESORT	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
2 OK1021745 TENKILLER AQUA PARK	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
3 OK1021763 BURNT CABIN RWD	1	Year	\$ 0.99	\$991,007	
4 OK1021702 PETTIT MT WATER	1	Year	\$ 0.24	\$241,709	
	<u> </u>		Outstand	\$00.040.F0F	<u> </u>
			Subtotal:		EDA antimata annumada inaluda anatimanasia
			30% Contingency(2): Total:		EPA estimate assumed to include contingencie
		20 Vees D	resent Worth Cost (3):		
		30-Teal P	Rounded Total:		
			Rounded Total.	\$350,177,000	
				* ====================================	
		Total Project	ct Present Worth Cost:	\$582,882,000	

- 1. Unit cost shown includes material and labor costs unless otherwise noted.
- 2 A 30% contingency is included provide for unexpected circumstances or variability in estimate areas, volumes, labor and material costs. Contingency allowance developed based upon USEF 3 30-year present worth based on a 7.0 percent discount rate as published in USEPA, 1993c, and has been applied to Annual/O&M Costs